



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,240		07/18/2003	Thomas Lynn Ferguson	139090	2846
24587	7590	05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
ALCATEL		ODEDTY DEDART	NGUYEN, DUC MINH		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLANO, T	X 75075		2643		
				DATE MALLED ACCIONA	_

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan.	10/623,240	FERGUSON ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Duc Nguyen	2643						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	* **							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.						
Attachment(s)								
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	λοπ Αμμισαμοπ (Ε 1Ο+192)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clermont et al (5,828,729).

Consider claims 1, 16. Clermont teaches a method and an apparatus for facilitating amelioration of network congestion caused by a mass call event, comprising a mass call event detector (column(s) 3, line(s) 64 to column(s) 4, line(s) 62; fig(s). 1a-3c, column(s) 6, line(s) 26 to column(s) 10, line(s) 2; column(s) 10, line(s) 3 column(s) 11, line(s) 3); a notifier coupled to the mass call event detector to receive indication of detection of occurrence of the mass call event, the notifier for notifying the network elements of the mass call event, automatically and free of manual interaction (column(s) 3, line(s) 64 to column(s) 4, line(s) 62; column(s) 7, line(s) 57-67; column(s) 10, line(s) 2 to column(s) 11, line(s) 3; column(s) 11, line(s) 4-15).

Consider claim 4. Clermont further discloses in column(s) 4, line(s) 1-56 the limitations of this claim.

Consider claims 6-7. Clermont further teaches that the indication of the selected attempts is beyond a selected threshold (column(s) 4, line(s) 37-41).

Consider claim 8. Clermont inherently teaches prior to the operation of detecting, selecting the selected threshold (column(s) 4, line(s) 37-41).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 9. Clermont further teaches computing a count for each directory number (column(s) 4, line(s) 59-62) that reads on the derived values.

Consider claim 10. Clermont further teaches the limitations of this claim in (column(s) 10, line(s) 33-58).

Consider claims 11-13. Clermont further discloses in column(s) 4, line(s) 1-56 the limitations of this claim.

Consider claims 14-15. Clermont further teaches maintaining a count of call attempts within a predetermined interval (column(s) 4, line(s) 37-41, line(s) 59-62) that reads on the limitations of these claims.

Consider claim 17. Clermont further discloses in column(s) 4, line(s) 1-56 the limitations of this claim.

Consider claim 18. Clermont further teaches that the indications of the selected attempts are beyond a selected threshold (column(s) 4, line(s) 37-41).

Consider claim 19. Clermont inherently teaches prior to the operation of detecting, selecting the selected threshold (column(s) 4, line(s) 37-41).

Consider claim 20. Clermont further teaches computing a count for each directory number (column(s) 4, line(s) 59-62) that reads on the derived values.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clermont et al (5,828,729) in view of Brockman et al (5,592,530).

Consider claims 2-3, 5. Clermont does not clearly teach that the network comprises an STP and wherein the mass call event detector and notifier are embodied at the STP.

Brockman teaches that the network comprises an STP and wherein the mass call event detector and notifier are embodied at the STP (column(s) 3, line(s) 4-34) for the purposes of mass call onset detection (column(s) 3, line(s) 4-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Brockman into the teachings of Clermont for the purposes mentioned above.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-7503. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kuntz Curtis can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duc Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643

5/13/05